

DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 450 660

HE 033 836

TITLE CRITICAL Issues Bibliography (CRIB) Sheet: Remedial Education Policy.

INSTITUTION ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education, Washington, DC.

SPONS AGENCY Office of Educational Research and Improvement (ED), Washington, DC.

PUB DATE 2001-00-00

NOTE 7p.; For other CRIB Sheets, see HE 033 821 and HE 033 832-835.

AVAILABLE FROM For full text: <http://www.eriche.org>.

PUB TYPE ERIC Publications (071) -- Reference Materials - Bibliographies (131)

EDRS PRICE MF01/PC01 Plus Postage.

DESCRIPTORS Annotated Bibliographies; *Educational Policy; *Higher Education; *Remedial Instruction; *Resources; *Teaching Methods

ABSTRACT

This CRITICAL Issues Bibliography (CRIB) Sheet focuses on remediation in higher education. Many colleges and universities are struggling to define the role of remediation in higher education, and leaders with different philosophical perspectives continue to debate their views. Because current arguments about remedial education in higher education resemble the arguments of earlier times, this bibliography highlights some historical sources. It also lists sources that present arguments and policies related to remediation debates at the state and institutional levels. Literature discussing promising approaches to remediation is also featured. It is hoped that these resources will help those involved in the debate about the role of remediation in higher education. The annotated bibliography contains 13 sources, all of which are in the ERIC database. (SLD)

CRITICAL ISSUES BIBLIOGRAPHY (CRIB) SHEET:

REMEDIAL EDUCATION POLICY

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
Office of Educational Research and Improvement
EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION
CENTER (ERIC)

This document has been reproduced as
received from the person or organization
originating it.

Minor changes have been made to
improve reproduction quality.

* Points of view or opinions stated in this
document do not necessarily represent
official OERI position or policy

The ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education
The George Washington University School of Education
and Human Development
#1 Dupont Circle, Suite 630
Washington, DC 20036
<http://www.eriche.org>

HE 053830

BEST COPY AVAILABLE

What is a CRITICAL ISSUES BIBLIOGRAPHY (CRIB) Sheet?

A CRIB sheet is a selected bibliography on a topic of interest in the field of higher education. The majority of the literature cited in the bibliography is found in the ERIC database, though some CRIB sheets also include selected Internet resources. CRIB sheets are updated twice a year, usually in May and December. The most recent versions of the CRIB sheets can be found on the ERIC Clearinghouse on Higher Education Web site at <http://www.eriche.org>.

How does one get the full text of ERIC materials cited in a CRIB Sheet?

ERIC references with an ED number are typically research papers and other monographs; the least expensive way to obtain these in full text is by seeking out an ERIC microfiche collection. To locate the ERIC Microfiche Resource Collection nearest you, connect to the Internet URL: <http://www.ericae.net/detc.htm>. Some commercially produced books cited in the database are not available in full text through ERIC, and may need to be obtained through interlibrary loan, a book retailer, or the publisher. Otherwise, microfiche, paper, and selected electronic copies can be ordered from:

ERIC Document Reproduction Service (EDRS)
DynTel Corporation
7420 Fullerton Road, Suite 110
Springfield, Virginia 22153-2852

Phone: 800-443-ERIC (3742) or 703-440-1400; fax: 703-440-1408; email: service@edrs.com
URL: <http://edrs.com>

Address purchase orders to the address above. Fax order and delivery service available. Cost: \$4.08 for 25 pages.

ERIC References with an EJ number are journal articles. The least expensive way to pursue full text is to consult a library, either to track down the appropriate issue of the originating journal or to try to obtain the article through interlibrary loan. Otherwise, there are three article reproduction services (listed below) that will provide you with a copy for a fee. Please be aware that no article reproduction service carries 100% of ERIC-indexed journal titles. CARL Uncover currently offers the greatest number of journals.

Carl Uncover Document Delivery Service
The UnCover Company
3801 East Florida Avenue, #200
Denver, CO 80210
URL: <http://uncweb.carl.org/>
Phone: (800) 787-7979; (303) 758-3030
e-mail: sos@carl.org
Cost: Articles \$10 plus copyright. Fax surcharge for outside US/Canada.

UMI Information Store
500 Sansome Street
Suite 400
San Francisco, Ca. 94111
Phone: (800) 248-0360; (415) 433-5500
email: orders@infostore.com
Cost: \$9.00 base rate for titles in the UMI collection, plus minimum \$2.00 copyright fee. \$12.00 base rate for titles in the "extended" collection, plus any copyright fee. \$.25 for each page over the first 10 pages. \$5.00 for fax service in U.S. and Canada, additional \$1.00 per page after first 10. Call for information regarding other services such as rush/overnight delivery.

Institute for Scientific Information (ISI)
Genuine Article Service
3501 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19104
Phone: (800) 523-1850 x1536; (215) 386-0100 x1536
email: tga@sinet.com
(Give complete citation, and account info--or your phone number so they can call you for billing instructions.)
Cost: \$10.75 for first 10 pages, \$2.75 for each next 10

CRITICAL ISSUES BIBLIOGRAPHY (CRIB) SHEET: REMEDIAL EDUCATION POLICY

Many colleges and universities are struggling to define the role of remediation in higher education. For example, the City University of New York (CUNY) recently eliminated remedial classes from all of its 11 four-year universities. For the moment, CUNY has left remedial education intact at its six community colleges, although Mayor Giuliani first recommended that private companies, such as the Kaplan Education Center or the Sylvan Learning Center, assume responsibility for CUNY's remedial program.

Leaders with different philosophical perspectives continue to actively debate their views about remediation. Traditionalists believe higher education should practice selective enrollment to maintain its high intellectual standards, whereas reformists feel higher education should be open to all within a democracy. Those who argue for remediation note that higher education cannot maintain an "open door policy" without addressing those students who want to attend, but lack basic skills in math, reading, writing, or all of these. Others argue that remediation should be handled by the secondary schools.

Current philosophical debates about remediation in higher education resemble some of the same arguments that colleges and universities in the United States have repeatedly engaged in throughout much of their history, since remedial education has always been part of higher education. That is why this CRITICAL ISSUES BIBLIOGRAPHY highlights some historical sources. It also lists sources that present arguments and policies surrounding remediation debates at the state and institutional levels. Literature discussing promising approaches to remediation are also highlighted, as are resources discussing who should offer remediation. It is hoped that these resources will help those involved in the debate about the role of remediation in higher education, a debate that has been ongoing virtually since colleges were first established in this country.

History

EJ554782

Shaw, K.M. (1997, Fall). Remedial education as ideological background: Emerging remedial education policies in the community college. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 19(3), 284-96.

Ideological bases of the current debate about remedial education are explored, data from three urban community colleges are used to examine the interplay between ideology and policy occurring at individual schools. Data suggest that colleges respond differently to state-level remediation policies and can help shape these policies.

ED380047

Shires, M.A. (1994, March). The role of history in developing the missions of California's public higher education systems. Draft. Santa Monica, CA: Rand Corp. Inst. on Education and Training.

The California Master Plan of 1960 defined relevant student populations and differentiated the missions of the University of California (UC), the California State Universities and Colleges (CSU), and the community colleges. Modern social forces are now complicating those missions.

State

ED416804

Russell, A.B. (1998, January). Statewide college admissions, student preparation, and remediation policies and programs. Summary of a 1997 SHEEO survey. Iowa City, IA: State Higher Education Executive Officers. American Coll. Testing Program.

This report presents findings of a nationwide study of student transitions from secondary to postsecondary education that is based on a survey of state higher education agencies and site visits to six states. The report finds a growing role for state higher education agencies in setting minimum college admissions requirements, coexistence of traditional admissions criteria with newer competency-based requirements, and expanding collaboration between postsecondary systems and the K-12 sector in program development.

ED415945

Cook, C.M. (1998). *Changing State Policy in Texas for Remedial/Developmental Education.* Austin, Texas: Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

The Texas Academic Skills Program (TASP), implemented in 1989, requires student assessment in reading, writing, and math prior to regular college course work.

Though TASP advocates argue that the program helps maintain academic standards and provides students with needed remediation, results are unfavorable and critics charge that the program does not produce greater retention or graduation rates, that it limits minority access to education, and that it is too costly.

EJ575626

Mills, M. (1998, Nov-Dec). From coordinating board to campus: Implementation of a policy mandate on remedial education. *Journal of Higher Education*, 69(6), 672-97.

A study examined how three Oklahoma institutions (Langston University, Tulsa Community College, University of Central Oklahoma) implemented a specific remedial education policy enacted by the state coordinating board for certain students. The analysis demonstrates how institutional actors ascribed sense to the goals, assumptions, and expectations of the policy and reconciled them with cultural aspects of their organizations.

ED411901

(1997, September). *Remedial/developmental education in the Illinois community college system: Scope, cost, structure, outcomes, and policies*. Springfield, IL: Illinois Community Coll. Board.

In response to the increased need for remediation, the Illinois Community College Board conducted a study examining the scope, cost, structure, outcomes, and policies of remedial/developmental education in the Illinois Community College System.

Institutional

ED422774

Iannozzi, M. (1998, June). *Mount St. Mary's College. Policy Perspectives. Exemplars*.

Philadelphia, PA: Institute for Research on Higher Education

This report describes the efforts of Mount St. Mary's College (California) to extend the benefits of a strong, traditional baccalaureate program to an underserved population of women in an urban region, including substantial numbers of minority and first-generation college students.

ED419566

Sussman, G.D. (1998, April). *Presentation on remediation at CUNY*. Long Island City, NY: La Guardia Community Coll.

The paper explains the current crisis over remediation, ignited by N.Y. Mayor Giuliani's criticisms of CUNY's standards, and describes the creation of the Comprehensive Action Plan (CAP), which addresses student preparedness for college.

Promising Practices

ED416940

Yamasaki, E. (1998, March). *Effective policies for remedial education*. ERIC Digest., Los Angeles, CA: ERIC Clearinghouse for Community Colleges.

This digest reviews the role of community colleges in delivering remedial education. With demand for remediation increasing, community colleges are under pressure to provide, with very limited financial resources, the bulk of developmental courses for students. In finding effective solutions to this dilemma, policy makers should consider a broad base of information, including student demographics, characteristics of successful programs, and program assessments.

ED413965

Ignash, J.M. (Ed.). (1997, Winter). *Implementing effective policies for remedial and developmental education*. New Directions for Community Colleges, Number 100. *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 25 (4).

Focusing on the debate over the need for and appropriateness of remedial/developmental programs in higher education, this volume addresses policy issues related to the provision of such programs and presents state, national, and case study data.

EJ547573

Weissman, J., and others. (1997, April). Assessing developmental education policies. *Research in Higher Education*, 38(2), 187-200.

Reviews results of a study assessing the effectiveness of developmental studies program policy at a community college. Issues addressed include required remediation of skill-deficient students, enrollment in college-level courses by skill-deficient students, and differing policies for skill-deficient students depending on extent of deficiency. Results point to guidelines for designing or revising developmental studies policies.

ED386983

Weissman, J., and others. (1995, May). *Assessing developmental education through student tracking*. AIR 1995 Annual Forum Paper.

The effectiveness of developmental education policies at a comprehensive community college was investigated using a new student tracking system. A sample of 1,644 students were tracked from Fall 1992 until the end of the Fall 1994 semester, 1,226 of whom evidenced basic skills and were eligible for college-level courses, and 418 of whom were classified as skill-deficient. After the Fall 1994 semester, 179 skill-deficient students had not remediated and 239 students had remediated.

Re-examining who should offer remedial education

EJ558587

Ignash, J.M. (1997, Winter). Who should provide postsecondary remedial/developmental education? *New Directions for Community Colleges*, 100, 5-19.

Discusses core issues of remedial/developmental education, including state policies, cost, standards, effectiveness, and which sector of higher education should provide it. Describes characteristics of remedial students and provides policy recommendations.